

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,007	04/03/2001	Nobuo Suzuki	107317-00026	6467
	7590 09/04/2007 XINTNER PLOTKIN & F	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Suite 600		VILLECCO, JOHN M		
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5339			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/824,007	SUZUKI, NOBUO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John M. Villecco	2622		

	John M. Villecco	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ving replies: (1) an amendn tice of Appeal (with appeal se with 37 CFR 1.114. The	nent, affidavit, or other evide fee) in compliance with 37 (nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from th b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WI	ne mailing date of the final reject	tion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding thortened statutory period for rathan three months after the m	amount of the fee. The approperly originally set in the final Of	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of t	ths of the date of he appeal. Since
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belon (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the property of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the property of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I 	nsideration and/or search (w); ter form for appeal by mate	see NOTE below); erially reducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	: 112, 2 nd paragraph rejecti	ons of claims 4-7 and 10-13	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-8 and 10-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE) 🛛 will be entered and an	explanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections und and was not earlier prese	er appeal and/or appellant fa nted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		•	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	8/5/107	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant continues to argue that Roberts fails to disclose a row shift circuit having no random access function. However, as previously presented by the examiner Roberts teaches that the imager operates to scan pixels in a "conventional row by row order" (col. 7, lines 33-34). Thus, the examiner is broadly interpreting this to be scanning the without in a non-random manner, thereby reading on the claimed limitation of the row shift circuit having no random access function. More specifically since it is operating to can the imager in a conventional manner, it is not accessing the imager randomly at this instance.

Furthermore, MPEP 2114 states that an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. Since the limitation in question recites a function of the row shift circuit and not the structure it is not given patentable weight..